

REMARKS

In the parent application, the Examiner required restriction to one of the following inventions:

- I. Claims 1-2, drawn to the adaptation of task templates into a customer specific general procurement and accounts payable application.
- II. Claims 3-35, drawn to a database of templates used to facilitate the coordination of tasks.

Applicants elected in the parent application to prosecute claims 3-35 of Group II without prejudice, and this application is filed to prosecute claim 1-2 of Group II, and have added new claims 36-41.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Applicant urge that the above amendments be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 1-2, and 36-41.

The Application is believed to be in condition for

allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should the Examiner determine that one/more of the claims are not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P. Sections 707.02(j) and 707.03 in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the Application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being necessary.

Sincerely,

R. F. BARNARD, ET AL.

By


Shelley M Beckstrand
Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 23 Mar 2004

Shelley M Beckstrand, P.C.
Attorney at Law
314 Main Street
Owego, NY 13827

Phone: (607) 687-9913
Fax: (607) 687-7848